LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Rocketship Discovery Prep

CDS Code: 43-10439-0123281

School Year: 2024-25

LEA contact information: Benjamin Carson501-258-7831bcarson@rsed.org

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

## Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year

Projected Revenue by Fund Source

All federal funds, $561,418 , 7%

All local funds, $- , 0%

All Other LCFF funds, $4,386,898 , 51%

Total LCFF Funds , 5119783, 59%

All other state funds, $2,944,011 , 34%

LCFF supplemental & concentration grants, $732,885 , 8%

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Rocketship Discovery Prep expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Rocketship Discovery Prep is $8,625,212.64, of which $5,119,783.00 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $2,944,011.27 is other state funds, $0.00 is local funds, and $561,418.37 is federal funds. Of the $5,119,783.00 in LCFF Funds, $732,885.00 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.

Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP

Total Budgeted General Fund Expenditures, $8,624,000	Total Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP $2,730,713

Y-axis values: $ 10,000,000 / $ 8,000,000 / $ 6,000,000 / $ 4,000,000 / $ 2,000,000 / $ 0

This chart provides a quick summary of how much Rocketship Discovery Prep plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Rocketship Discovery Prep plans to spend $8,624,000.00 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, $2,730,713.16 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $5,893,286.84 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

Rent, utilities, food service, district admin fees, network service fees, contracts subs, communications, insurance, travel & conferences, dues & memberships

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, Rocketship Discovery Prep is projecting it will receive $732,885.00 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Rocketship Discovery Prep must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Rocketship Discovery Prep plans to spend $1,445,480.06 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24

Prior Year Expenditures: Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students

Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP — $1,249,677

Actual Expenditures for High Needs Students in LCAP — $936,103

$ 0 $ 200,000 $ 400,000 $ 600,000 $ 800,000 $ 1,000,000 $ 1,200,000 $ 1,400,000

This chart compares what Rocketship Discovery Prep budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Rocketship Discovery Prep estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, Rocketship Discovery Prep's LCAP budgeted $1,249,677.42 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Rocketship Discovery Prep actually spent $936,102.80 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24. The difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures of $313,574.62 had the following impact on Rocketship Discovery Prep's ability to increase or improve services for high needs students:

The estimated actual LCFF Supplemental/Concentration grants total for 23-24 was $700,887. Estimated actual expenditures for contributing actions were $936,103. So although we did not spend the total amount we had planned (see material differences explanations), actions and services were implemented, and we spent more than the total received for the purpose of this requirement.

2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Rocketship Discovery Prep	Jazmin Quiroz, Principal	discoveryprep@rsed.org; 408-217-8951

Goals and Actions

Goal 1

Goal #	Description
1	Improve Rocketeers' proficiency in key content areas, overall and for key subgroups.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Closing the achievement gap and creating educational equity is of the highest priority for our school. We are proud of the progress of our students and must continue our actions to sustain this progress. This broad goal addresses state priority 4-Pupil Achievement and 8-Other Pupil Outcomes.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
CAASPP ELA: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups	2018-19 All students: 38.1% English Learners: 30.1% SED: 36.9% SWD: 0% Asian: 88.2% Hispanic/Latinx: 31.4% Data Source: CA Dashboard	CAASPP was not administered in Spring 2021. See local assessment metric below.	2021-22 All students: 29.0% English Learners: 13.7% SED: 25.2% Students with Disabilities: 0% Asian: 66.7% Hispanic/Latinx: 21.4%	2022-23 All students: 34.4% English Learners: 17.9% SED: 28.2% Students with Disabilities: 0.0% Asian: 70.4% Hispanic/Latinx: 27.3%	43% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: CA Dashboard

			Data Source: CA Dashboard	Data Source: CA Dashboard	
CAASPP Math: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups	2018-19 All students: 51.5% English Learners: 44.7% SED: 49.4% SWD: 10% Asian: 88.2% Hispanic/Latinx: 46.3% Data Source: CA Dashboard	CAASPP was not administered in Spring 2021. See local assessment metric below.	2021-22 All students: 27.7% English Learners: 15.3% SED: 23.5% Students with Disabilities: 9.5% Asian: 66.7% Hispanic/Latinx: 19.5% Data Source: CA Dashboard	2022-23 All students: 30.7% English Learners: 12.4% SED: 24.7% Students with Disabilities: 11.8% Asian: 70.4% Hispanic/Latinx: 23.2% Data Source: CA Dashboard	54% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: CA Dashboard
CAASPP Science: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups	2018-19 All Students: 20% English Learners: 3.5% Low Income: 18.8% Students with Disabilities: 0.0% Hispanic/Latinx: 12.5% Data Source: Data Quest	N/A	2021-22 All students: 13.6% English Learners: 3.23% SED: 11.4% Hispanic/Latinx: 11.3% Data Source: CA Dashboard	2022-23 All students: 13.0% English Learners: 3.7% SED: 12.5% Hispanic/Latinx: 5.3% Data Source: CA Dashboard	All Students: 25% English Learners: 25% Low Income: 25% Students with Disabilities: 25% Asian: 25% Black or African American: 25% Hispanic/Latinx: 25% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Data Quest
Avg Growth Years for Reading on NWEA (K-2)	2018-19 1.24	1.01 Years Data Year:	.95 Years Data Year:	1.34 Years Data Year:	1.2 Years Data Year:

	Data Source: NWEA MAP	2021-22 Data Source: NWEA MAP	2022-23 Data Source: NWEA MAP	2023-24 Data Source: NWEA MAP	2023-24 Data Source: NWEA MAP
Avg Growth Years for Math on NWEA (K-2)	2018-19 1.34 Data Source: NWEA MAP	1.27 Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: NWEA MAP	.85 Years Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: NWEA MAP	1.38 Years Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: NWEA MAP	1.2 Years Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: NWEA MAP
English Learner Progress Indicator (% of EL students increased at least one ELPI level or maintained the ELP criterion (Level 4))	2018-19: 49% Data Source: CA Dashboard	45.4% Scoring Level 3 or 4 on ELPAC (2019-20 ELPAC test suspended due to COVID, no prior year score for ELPI calculations) Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: DataQuest	2021-22: 43% Data Source: CA Dashboard	2022-23: 45% Data Source: CA Dashboard	High (Green) on current status of dashboard 55% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: CA Dashboard
EL Reclassification Rate	2019-20: 8.8% Data Source: CA Dashboard	2021-22: 6.4% Data Year: 2021-22 (As of 4/15/22): Data Source: SIS Demographics 2020-21: 0.0%	7% Data Year: 2022-23 (As of 3/15/23): Data Source: SIS Demographics	4.6% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of 3/29/24) Data Source: SIS Demographics	15% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: SIS Demographics

		(due to COVID assessment and instruction interruptions) Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: DataQuest			

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All Goal 1 actions were implemented as planned except, in 1.4 Services to Support Emerging Bilingual Students, we did not implement the support plan framework for newcomers. However, we differentiated our curriculum for newcomers, and we provided p.d. for our teachers on newcomer strategies. Successes and Challenges: In 1.1 Common Core-Aligned Instruction & Materials, we adopted a new curriculum for math and for reading. The math curriculum is conceptually based, and the reading program is based on the science of reading. The adoption has been a success. A related challenge has been, given the new curriculum, how we personalize instruction for students. We used a new reading assessment tool called DIBELS, and for personalization we had Humanities teachers conduct small group lessons with targeted instruction for each group, with students grouped based on their levels. We used mClass curriculum as the leveled lessons. We reflected mid-year on our implementation and made some adjustments to small-group instruction and student 1-to-1 coaching. In 1.2 Personalized Learning, we are in Year 1 implementation of a new district curriculum. A success was that all teachers and leaders received professional development on the new curriculum and are implementing year one. A related challenge we anticipate is the need for support beyond year one, and we are considering how to develop additional professional development for continued support of the implementation and rollout. In 1.3 Special Education Supports, we had success in gathering cross discipline/provider aligned recommendations for students to ensure all providers are working on the full picture for increased student achievements. A related challenge has been the need for cross discipline/team assessment calendars. At times it is difficult to align all providers on a date. In 1.4 Services to Support Emerging Bilingual Students, a success to celebrate is that not only is designated ELD happening with fidelity, but based on school site observation and network observation data, it is occuring with higher quality instruction than ever before, responding to our focus on Principle 2 of the EL Roadmap. In addition to observation data, our Multilingual Learner leads, the school staff managing the program at each site, report feeling more confident and that they have the resources they need to implement the programs on their campuses. In terms of challenges for 1.4, we had planned a rollout of universal strategies to support Tier One instruction. Regional observation showed it was not a strong rollout, and we can do better next year. We plan to either go back to GLAD (Guided Language Acquisition Design) strategies implementation, which is more familiar, or to increase focus on implementation roll out for universal strategies. In 1.5 Love of Reading, a success was that this year, we began having students bring books with them at the start of the day to read until the start of our daily Launch assembly. Additionally, once a week during Launch, a student would come up to share a book recommendation. A related challenge was in holding all students accountable to their reading, and having a good system to ensure students find books of interest that are also on their level.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

In 1.3 Special Education Supports, there was a material difference ($185,556) due to increased need for special education contractors due to staffing shortages. Contractors are more expensive than hiring internal staff.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The 1.1 Common Core-aligned instruction & materials, 1.2 Personalized Learning, 1.3 Special Education Supports, and 1.5 Love of Reading Campaign actions have been somewhat effective overall, as evidenced by assessment results: NWEA K-2, where we exceeded our target in Math and Reading; CAASPP Science, where we approached our target, and CAASPP ELA and Math, where we approached our targets.

Although we see growth, there is a bigger learning gap due to the pandemic that we will continue working to address. We began implementing new curricula for reading and math in 2023-24. In the curriculum rollout, under Action 1.1, our goal for this year was to know we are implementing with fidelity. We expect to see and evaluate the results after a full year of implementation. Our internal data points for this year are around implementation, which is positive. We are planning for further implementation support in the 24-25 school year as well.

In 1.4 Services to Support Emerging Bilingual Learners, our annual goal is for 15% of students to meet all required criteria for reclassification each year. We also strive for at least 55% of students to demonstrate progress on the English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI). In 2023, we had 45% of students making progress in the English Learner Progress Indicator. In 2023-24, we had a reclassification rate of 4.6%. Data showing the full impact of this year's LCAP goals and initiatives will be available in the summer. But our internal observation data on implementation of ELD shows the highest quality implementation yet. Based on this information, we believe the action toward the goal was somewhat effective. In order to accelerate progress, we plan to continue this work and to either increase the focus on universal strategies for Tier One instruction or return to GLAD strategies implementation, which is under consideration.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We moved 1.1 Common Core-aligned Curriculum to Goal 2 to better align in terms of goal, metrics and actions. In 1.1 and 1.2, we added information about the adoption and implementation of a new Tier 2 Intervention curriculum. In 1.4 we added information on our ELD implementation for 24-25 within the context of a multi-year focus on the EL Roadmap. In 1.5 we changed the title to align with current initiatives.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goal 2

Goal #	Description
2	Rocketeers will have access to Common Core standards aligned curriculum across a broad array of content areas taught by appropriately assigned, highly qualified teachers.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Rocketship deeply values our teacher development, and the action items related to this goal demonstrate the seriousness of which we approach ensuring that our students are being taught by high-level instructors. This broad goal addresses state priorities 1-Basic Services and 2-Implementation of Academic Standards.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24

% of students with access to their own copies of standards aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	100% Data Year: 2019-20 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators
Number/% of total and EL teachers credentialed & properly assigned	100% Data Year: 2019-20 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators
% of adopted standards including ELD standards implemented	100% Data Year: 2019-20 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All Goal 2 actions were implemented as planned except, in 2.2 Assessments, the first two bullet points did happen as planned, but we now use the term state test primer instead of interim assessments, and we used DIBELS instead of STEP and Fountas and Pinnel in order to better align to our curriculum. Successes and Challenges: In 2.1 Professional Development, a success was that our P.D. directly supported implementation of the new curriculum across all spaces. We partnered with external organizations to make this come to life. We held a mix of school-based and network regional p.d. In 2.1, a challenge was in differentiating for teachers, given we were all starting with the new curriculum (so that new vs. experienced teachers all had the same p.d.). One of the greatest successes in 2.2 Assessment was that we had highly experienced internal ELPAC proctors through strong staff retention. This was a great benefit for our students. In 2.2 Assessment, we wish we could have more internal proctors, but we do still have to use some external proctors. In 2.3 Data Days, our data analysis has led to gains in % of students on track in reading levels and to increases in end of unit module assessments as well. In 2.3 Data Days, it was challenging to adapt to some of the new reporting structures with the new curriculum, which reports would be most useful to incorporate. Our reading assessment, mCLASS, tracks across major skills, but doesn't give us specifics to the letter, so we learned we had to supplement. In 2.4 Coaching, we successfully leveraged regional cluster common planning to bring together grade levels. We also held successful leadership development clinics for site leaders to opt into. Additionally, we found success in the creation of a core block coaching look-fors playbook to guide coaching. In 2.4 Coaching, it was a challenge to adjust our intellectual prep structures to account for teachers' increased need for time to internalize new curriculum. In 2.5 Credentialing, We have seen many of our teachers pass their required tests and continue to support staff still working to meet program enrollment requirements. In terms of challenges, we have determined that National University is not the optimal partner for our Intern teachers. We will be directing new staff that require program enrollment to Reach University instead. In 2.6 Culturally Responsive Pedagogy, we saw success in holding in person Los Dichos to bring in families to read with students on a monthly basis. We also held academic community meetings to unpack the curriculum, discuss progress with families, and align on strategies to support our Rocketeers. We found success in providing windows and mirrors for Rocketeers through our classroom libraries and text selection. In 2.6 Culturally Responsive Pedagogy, a challenge was in adjusting to find high leverage places for personalization and modification for our new curriculum.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

In 2.1 Professional Development, there was a material difference (-$25,977) because fewer staff members than budgeted resulted in slightly lower professional development expenses.

In 2.2 Assessments, there was a material difference ($2,862) because of increased expenses due to additional substitutes for testing.

In 2.3 Data Days, there was a material difference (-$4,866) because of lower spending than budgeted at the start of the year.

In 2.5 Teacher Credentialing there was a material difference ($7,310) because expenses were higher than anticipated; budget was too low.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The Professional Development, Assessments, Data Days, Coaching, and Culturally Responsive Pedagogy actions were highly effective as evidenced by our strong implementation of adopted standards including ELD standards, combined with excellent instructional materials provided to all students, and by our assessment results (see Goal 1) which show growth and progress toward our targets. The Teacher Credentialing action was highly effective as evidenced by the Number/% of total and EL teachers credentialed & properly assigned: 100%.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We moved 1.1 Common Core-aligned Curriculum to Goal 2 to better align in terms of goal, metrics and actions. We combined the previous Assessment and Data Days actions into 2.2 Data Driven Instructional Practices to better capture the data cycle. In 2.4 we updated the description to reflect our new partnership with Reach University and our work to strengthen our internal career pathway, also in partnership with Reach. In 2.5, we updated the description to more succinctly reflect our ongoing implementation of culturally responsive pedagogical practices.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goal 3

Goal #	Description
3	School environment will be safe and welcoming for all students.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Creating a safe environment for our students is one of our top priorities and in this coming year as we welcome our students back after the pandemic school closure, it will be even more important for our families and students to feel that our school is a safe place. This broad goal addresses state priorities 1-Basic Services and 6-School Climate.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Do facilities meet the "good repair" standard	Met Data Year: 2019-20 Data Source: Local Indicators	Met Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: Local Indicators	Met Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Local Indicators	Met Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators	Met Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators

% of students feel safe on campus (As measured by student survey)	No 2020-21 data due to pandemic school closure.	78% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Internal Survey	74% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Internal Survey	76% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Survey	75% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Survey
% of families feel campus is safe (As measured by family survey)	No 2020-21 data due to pandemic school closure.	91% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	72% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	93% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	85% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All Goal 3 actions were implemented as planned. Successes and Challenges: In 3.1 Business Operations Manager, based on our internal metrics for operations systems, we improved operations significantly this year. Highlights of this success include improvements in safety, joy, and efficiency at recess. In 3.2 School Maintenance, this year we expanded our network team for facilities maintenance. Although we successfully added one staff member, we struggled to fill the third role, which impacted our ability to address all needs in the most expeditious manner possible. Our site-based operations team was consistently staffed. Also in 3.2, we successfully installed school entry id-checking systems to further strengthen safety on campus. A challenge for us is the safety and effectiveness of drop off and pick up procedures. The biggest factor is that some surrounding geographical issues are impacting pick up and drop off. We are currently housed in an area with a dead end street, and on the other side we have car repair and tire shops, which means often there are cars blocking our lane for drop off and dismissal. In frustration, some drivers may try to speed around the traffic which causes safety concerns. We have met with our councilman and are in ongoing discussion with police and the council to see if we can get a crossing guard.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

In 3.3 Custodial services and supplies, there was a material difference ($16,658), with costs being higher than initially planned due to hiring contracted costs this year, and additional cleaning and disinfecting.

In 3.4 Operations Specialists there was a material difference (-$49,249) because fewer Operations Specialists were hired due to challenges hiring and retaining staff.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The School Maintenance action was effective as evidenced by the Do facilities meet the "good repair" standard: Met.

The Business Operations Manager, Custodial Services & Supplies, and Operations Specialists actions were effective overall as evidenced by family and student survey results: % of students feel safe on campus: 76% and % of families feel campus is safe: 93%. We placed a heavy emphasis on campus safety and improving our systems for operations, and we will continue this work.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

In 3.1 we updated the action to include the Operations Specialist role in addition to the Business Operations Manager. In 3.2 we added janitorial services to the description so the action will encompass maintenance and janitorial. In 3.3, we shifted the focus from janitorial services to safety trainings. In 3.4 we shifted the focus to describe systems of staff development and continuous improvement in operations.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goal 4

Goal #	Description
4	Rocketship students will become self-motivated, competent, and lifelong learners and will develop a deep love of learning.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Delivering a well-rounded education builds the critical thinking, team work, character skills and values that our Rocketeers need to thrive in school and life. This broad goal addresses state priorities 3-Parent Engagement; 5-Student Engagement; 6-School Climate; and 7-Course Access.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
% of student feel connected to at least one staff member (As measured by student survey)	No 2020-21 data due to pandemic school closure	85% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Internal Survey	82% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Internal Survey	80% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Survey	75% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Survey
% of parents connected (As measured by parent survey question, "My Rocketship school has a strong and committed community that actively encourages family participation")	80% Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	79% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	77% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	85% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	85% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey
Portion of parents with input into decision making (Parent survey participation rate)	84% Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	64% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	51% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	98% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	90% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: Annual Parent Survey

Chronic Absenteeism rate for all students and all significant subgroups	2018-19 All students: 14.7% English Learners: 12.3% SED: 15.3% SWD: 16.3% Asian: 1.5% Hispanic/Latinx: 16% Data Source: CA Dashboard	All Students: 66.5% English Learners: 65.1% Low Income: 68.8% Students with Disabilities: 63.4% Asian: 44.7% Black or African American: 70.0% Hispanic/Latinx: 65.4% White: 60.0% Data Year: 2021-22 (as of 4/15/22) Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	All Students: 46.2% English Learners: 45.8% Low Income: 46.0% Students with Disabilities: 32.5% Homeless:66.7% Asian: 32.2% Hispanic/Latinx: 48.2% Data Year: 2022-23 (as of 4/7/23) Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	All Students: 20.3% English Learners: 19.5% Low Income: 20.3% Students with Disabilities: 20.0% Homeless: 51.4% Asian: 13.2% Black: 41.7% Hispanic/Latinx: 20.1% White: 28.6% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of 3/29/24) Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	All Students: 10% English Learners: 10% Low Income: 10% Students with Disabilities: 10% Homeless: 10% Asian: 10% Black or African American: 10% Hispanic/Latinx: 10% White: 10% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports
Suspension rate for all students and all significant subgroups	2018-19 All students: 2% English Learners: 1.8% SED: 2.1% SWD: 0% Asian: 1.5% Hispanic/Latinx: 1.8%	All Students: 0.0% English Learners: 0.0% Low Income: 0.0% Students with Disabilities: 0.0% Asian: 0.0% Black or African	All Students: 0.0% English Learners: 0.0% Low Income: 0.0% Students with Disabilities: 0.0% Asian: 0.0%	All Students: 0.0% English Learners: 0.0% Low Income: 0.0% Students with Disabilities: 0.0% Asian: 0.0%	All Students: 0.5% English Learners: 0.5% Low Income: 0.5% Students with Disabilities: 0.5% Asian: 0.5%

	Data Source: CA Dashboard	American: 0.0% Hispanic/Latinx: 0.0% White: 0.0% Data Year: 2021-22 (as of 4/15/22) Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	Hispanic/Latinx: 0.0% Data Year: 2022-23 (as of 4/7/23) Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	Hispanic/Latinx: 0.0% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of as of 3/29/24) Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	Black or African American: 0.5% Hispanic/Latinx: 0.5% White: 0.5% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports
Expulsion rate for all students and all significant subgroups	2018-19: 0% Data Source: CA Dashboard	0% Data Year: 2021-22 (as of 4/15/22) Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	0% Data Year: 2022-23 (as of 4/7/23) Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	0% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of as of 3/29/24) Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	0% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports
Average Daily Attendance	2018-19: 94.7% Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	85.3% Data Year: 2021-22 (as of 4/15/22) Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	88.5% Data Year: 2022-23 (as of 4/7/23) Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	93.3% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of 3/29/24) Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	95% Data Year: 2023-24 Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports
% of students with access to a broad course of study,including unduplicated students and students with exceptional needs	100% Data Year: 2019-20 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2020-21 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2021-22 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source: Local Indicators

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

All Goal 4 actions were implemented as planned except, in 4.3 Social Emotional Learning, we did not add the Wellness Counselor Intern. Successes and Challenges: In 4.1 Enrichment, we had a strong teacher retention and were able to add science for 5th grade. In 4.2 Field Trips, we successfully had every single 4th and 5th grade cohort at each RPS campus attend science camp this year. We had many successes in 4.4 Care Corps. Our reach of families has grown threefold this year across the network. We have been able this year to increase our caseloads to 15 families per school, every nine weeks, serving those with the most severe needs and high chronic absenteeism. Care Corps is integrated with the attendance escalation process, in collaboration with the Regional Attendance Review Board. Across our 13 CA schools, we serve close to 585 families served per year through case management care coordination services. Staff had weekly check-ins with parents, offered community referrals to organizations to meet needs, referred as needed and paid registration to Parent Project (a series of helpful parent workshops offered through the District Attorney office). Care Corps partnered with our school leadership team to share student progress and provide feedback to leverage support of all stakeholders on campus and communicate how they can additionally support, especially when attendance was affected. One big challenge we experienced in 4.4 Care Corps is that there is a high emotional toll on our team when community partners have insufficient resources to help our students. It is very difficult, when we see that families are living in a car, in a tent, doubled, or tripled up in housing, and we are faced with the lack of housing options in the community to help them. Often this year we found that shelters had wait lists, there were no more hotel vouchers available, and hotline for referrals had a two-week waitlist. Another challenge has been helping parents to ask for resources to meet their own needs which would facilitate care coordination services for the entire family.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

In 4.1 Enrichment, there was a material difference ($203,646) because after school programming expenses were higher vs. plan.

In 4.2 Field Trips, there was a material difference ($29,670) because Science camp expenses were higher vs. what was initially planned.

In 4.3 Social Emotional Learning, there was a material difference (-$3,773) because expenses were below plan due to changes in staffing plans, resulting in fewer expenses for the school based social emotional learning consultants and interventionists. Rocketship instead hired regional Wellness Teachers and Counselors.

In 4.4 Care Corps, there was a material difference (-$9,856) because Care Corp compensation expenses differed from what was planned.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

Social Emotional Learning action was effective, as evidenced by % of student feel connected to at least one staff member (As measured by student survey) (80%), by Suspension rate for all students and all significant subgroups (0%), and by Expulsion rate for all students and all significant subgroups (0%). While we are pleased with the progress made, we plan to continue to grow our practices in SEL and school mental health, in order to address the increased needs of our students. The Enrichment and Field Trips actions were effective as evidenced by 100% of students with access to a broad course of study. The Care Corps action was effective as evidenced by Average Daily Attendance (93.3%), by Chronic Absenteeism rate for all students and all significant subgroups (20.3% overall), and by 85% of parents who report

feeling connected (As measured by parent survey question, "My Rocketship school has a strong and committed community that actively encourages family participation"), and the portion of parents with input into decision making (Parent survey participation rate–98%).

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

In 4.4 we updated the Care Corps description to include the initiatives begun under our Community Schools program.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goal 5

Goal #	Description
5	Rocketship families are engaged in their students' education.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

In order to achieve our academic growth and achievement goals, we know that families are critical partners in the endeavor. Parent involvement is a cornerstone of our school model. This broad goal addresses state priority 3-Parent Engagement.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
% of parents are involved (% parents on track to complete participation hours)	74% Data Year 2020--21 Data Source: Internal HelpCounter Data	37% Data Year 2021-22 (As of 4/22/22) Data Source: Internal HelpCounter Data	53% Data Year 2022-23 (as of 4/14/23) Data Source: Internal HelpCounter Data	89% Data Year 2023-24 (As of 5/3/24) Data Source: Internal HelpCounter Data	84% Data Year 2023--24 Data Source: Internal Help Counter Data
% Home visits completed	97% Data Year 2020-21 Data Source: Internal Data	100% Data Year 2021-22 Data Source: Internal Data	98% Data Year 2022-23 Data Source: Internal Data	97% Data Year 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Data	95% Data Year 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Data

% of parents who are satisfied with their school (reporting as agree or strongly agree on the parent survey)	80% Data Year 2020--21 Data Source: Internal Survey Data	79% Data Year 2021-22 Data Source: Internal Survey Data	71% Data Year 2022-23 Data Source: Internal Survey Data	83% Data Year 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Survey Data	85% Data Year 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Survey Data

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

All Goal 5 actions were implemented as planned. Successes and Challenges: In 5.1, we had success in surveying families during the summer and then again in Spring to find out what families would like to have in terms of family engagement events. Conducting two surveys instead of the usual one survey allowed for schools to receive feedback, implement it, and seek additional feedback. Based on this data, we implemented cultural celebrations, including celebrations of Hispanic Heritage, Lunar New Year, and Black History. For example, we had a very successful Black history living arts museum event in which students came dressed and performed as current or historical figures while students walked through as in a museum. We also held a successful math academic night where families came and observed student work. Our priority this year was engaging families through different processes and systems, and our cultural celebrations were a big part of this. We also offered a Zoom option for Cafecitos, and we held some hybrid academic family meetings, which provided additional flexibility for families. We surveyed families on whether orientation was a meaningful experience, and satisfaction was high, another success in family engagement. In 5.1, a challenge we are considering is how to make sure that all of our families are able to come to the events. We wish to engage more of the families that need the most support--for example, families of students that are truant or chronically absent, and not just the same already involved families. In 5.3, a challenge continues to be language barriers for staff members conducting home visits, which we addressed by pairing up staff members with colleagues fluent in the families' home language when needed, and finding sufficient time for home visits since we are once again doing fully in-person home visits. In 5.4 Los Dichos, we have had successful family outreach and participation across our Rocketship schools. Across all 13 schools, we've averaged about ~3 family members per classroom and this exceeds our goal of 2 family members per classroom. We had over 3,600 volunteers between September and March so far! We've improved our curriculum to ensure that all books are accessible in Spanish and Vietnamese (vs Spanish only in the past) to reflect the primary home languages spoken in our schools. A success was the inclusion of a broad range of family members in the program--grandpas, cousins, aunties, uncles. A meaningful experience for everyone, and especially for families who could engage in their primary language. In 5.5 Los Dichos, our increased family engagement has made finding space to hold trainings at our school sites sometimes challenging. We need to work with school leaders to ensure our training space is large enough to hold all family participants. Some schools have higher parent turnout than others, we want to support all schools to have consistent family readers each month.

In 5.2 Family Outreach there was a material difference ($1,630), and the variance is the result of higher personnel costs in family outreach versus the budget.

In 5.3 School Leadership Team, there was a material difference (-$14,894), and the variance is the result of a difference in personnel costs versus the budget

In 5.4 Los Dichos there was a material difference (-$3,362) because funds were budgeted in case expenses materialized. This is primarily a volunteer program.

Parent involvement and Los Dichos were effective as evidenced by % of parents are involved (% parents on track to complete participation hours) (89%).

Parent Outreach was effective as evidenced by % Home visits completed (97%)

School Leadership Team was effective as evidenced by % of parents who are satisfied with their school (reporting as agree or strongly agree on the parent survey) (83%).

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We reorganized our actions within Goal 5 to better align with the facets of our family engagement initiatives. We also added an Attendance Initiatives action to communicate the important work being done to increase or maintain high attendance and reduce chronic absenteeism.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

Goals and Actions

Goal(s)

Description:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Measuring and Reporting Results

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Metric:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Baseline:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 1 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 2 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 3 Outcome:

- When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

Desired Outcome for 2023–24:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Goal Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.

  o In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.

  o When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics

is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.

o Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

● Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

o As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

▪ The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and

▪ How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education
November 2023

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Rocketship Discovery Prep	Ayesha Doster, Principal	discoveryprep@rsed.org; 408-217-8951

Plan Summary 2024-25

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Rocketship Discovery Prep opened in August 2011, the fifth Rocketship campus to open in San Jose. The campus serves 437 TK-5 students. Approximately 78.3% of the students are socioeconomically disadvantaged, and 8% are homeless. Additionally, 58.8% are English learners, and 7.8% of students qualify for special education services. Approximately 81.7% of students identify as being Hispanic or Latino and 12.4% as Asian.

Rocketship Discovery Prep operates a highly personalized educational model to serve the unique needs of our students. At Rocketship, personalized learning is about reaching each student with the right content at the right time using the right method of instruction. Our teachers lead the learning process for every single student we serve in both whole group grade level instruction and differentiated instruction that meets students at their individual level. We use tutors and adaptive online learning programs to augment teacher-led instruction and provide valuable time for students to practice new concepts and develop the mastery they need to advance. Given the majority FRL and EL population, Rocketship Discovery Prep's instructional program is built around ELD principles and recognizes incoming students may be several grade levels behind. As a result, all teachers are trained in Guided Language Acquisition Design, small group instruction and differentiation to meet the needs of all students in their classrooms.

Rocketship Discovery Prep also operates under the principles that excellent teachers and leaders create transformational schools and engaged families are essential in eliminating the achievement gap. To these ends, Rocketship invests deeply in training and development to ensure teachers and leaders have a profound impact on students and communities and Rocketship families are actively engaged in our schools, from reading in the classroom to chaperoning field trips. We also develop parent leaders in our schools and communities to become powerful advocates for their children. More details regarding how we implement personalized learning, teacher development and parent engagement follow.

All Rocketship campuses share four core values-- respect, responsibility, empathy and persistence—and develop a fifth core value as a community. At RDP this fifth core value is creative expression. The RDP community believes that teaching students creative forms of expressing themselves can have a profound impact on students' social, emotional and academic development. As a result, the campus places emphasis on early exposure to visual art, musical foundations, and basic dance movements to help shape children's values, perspectives, and understandings of themselves and the diversity of varied expression in the world around them.

The purpose of this Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) is to address the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) for Rocketship Discovery Prep which is the Schoolwide Program; herein referred to as the LCAP. The Charter School's plan is to effectively meet the ESSA Requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state and local programs. The plans included in the LCAP address these requirements compliant to include focusing on five goals: Improve Rocketeers' proficiency in key content areas, overall and for key subgroups; Rocketeers will be taught by highly qualified teachers and educators with robust training and development on common core standards aligned curriculum; School environment will be safe, welcoming, joyful, and efficient for all students and families; Rocketship students will have access to opportunities that support them to become well-rounded, self motivated, engaged community members; Rocketship families are engaged in their students' education and advocate for their communities. The Charter School completed a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school which included an analysis of verifiable state data and local performance data used to measure student outcomes as evidenced in the annual update portion of the LCAP. The needs assessment process included meeting parents, classified staff, teachers and administrators to identify areas of opportunity for the students and groups of students who are not achieving standard mastery and to identify strategies which will be implemented in the LCAP to address those areas of opportunity.

The identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the LCAP and the progress toward accomplishing the established goals will include discussing the actions and services with the use of supplemental funds at the school level through the Parent Advisory Council which will include parents of English Learners. The Parent Advisory Council will meet four times per year to inform the process. Parents, classified staff, certificated staff and an administrator will make up the council. The number of parents will exceed or be equal to the number of total staff members. The council will discuss academic performance, supplemental services and areas to make improvements with Title funds as part of the School Plan included in the LCAP conversations at the meeting in the fall and at the meeting in the spring. The teachers, staff, students and parents also participate in an annual survey which provides feedback on the goals and services. The teachers, staff and administrators actively participate in the decision making process throughout the year and during LCAP workshops. The decisions will take into account the needs of Rocketship Discovery Prep based on student achievement data to include SBAC, ELPAC, and interim assessment data such as NWEA MAP, cumulative assessments, and attendance and student demographic data to include the significant subgroups of Latino, Black or African American, White, socioeconomically disadvantaged, students with disabilities, and English Learners. This student achievement data and student demographic data will be used as a basis for making decisions about the use of supplemental federal funds and the development of policies on basic core services.

Each goal includes actions and services that address the needs of all students and significant subgroups which include evidence-based strategies that provide opportunities for all children, methods and instructional strategies, and particular focus on students at risk of not meeting the State academic standards.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

2023 Dashboard Reflections

RDP has identified a success in English Learner Progress (Green, 45%). We will build on this success by implementing action 1.3 Services to Support Multilingual Students.

RDP has identified a success in Suspension Rate (Blue, 0%). We will build on this success by implementing action 4.3 Social Emotional Learning.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Not Applicable.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Rocketship Discovery Prep is a single school LEA that is not eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Rocketship Discovery Prep is a single school LEA that is not eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Rocketship Discovery Prep is a single school LEA that is not eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Various	The School Site Council, which fulfills the LCAP Parent Advisory Committee role, is composed of parents and staff. The SSC and English Learner Advisory Council (ELAC) met quarterly throughout the year to reflect on data and provide input on plan development. Our School Site Council participated in a needs analysis process and identified the key successes and challenges for RDP based on the CA School Dashboard for 2023 (See Reflections: Annual Performance) and more recent data aligned to Dashboard indicators. Our SSC and ELAC committees met for LCAP input and consultation on 10/17/23, 2/12/24, and 4/8/24. Community Members are invited to participate in any and all open community engagement, including Regional Community Advisory Board Meetings . We conducted an RCAB meeting on 5/13/24 to gather more community partner feedback and collaboration around how Care Corps can best support attendance and reduce chronic absenteeism. Advocates are invited to participate in any and all open community engagement. Tribes are not applicable. We consulted our SELPA for LCAP input on 6/4/24.

Our board meets quarterly as a public hearing with the opportunity for public comment. We promoted parent participation in public meetings and public hearings through website and agenda posting. Virtual meetings conducted via video conference had telephone call-in access. We provided translation as needed. The LCAP Public hearing was held on May 29, 2024. The LCAP was adopted on June 16, 2024. |
Teachers, School Staff, Administrators	Teachers, school staff, and school administrators provided LCAP feedback throughout the year in a variety of ways--during regular staff meetings, 1:1 meetings, Chat and Chew feedback meetings (10/2/23 and 11/9/23) monthly Cafecitos and staff surveys, Data Day and P.D. reflections, plus informal surveys to solicit input on specific aspects of plans.
Parents	Parents provided LCAP feedback throughout the year through various parent engagement opportunities. These opportunities include, but are not limited to, monthly coffee chats with the principal–Cafecitos (8/2/23, 8/3/23, 8/4/23, 8/8/23, 9/13/23, 10/23/23, 11/8/23, 2/7/24, 3/4/24, 3/8/24, 3/11/24, 4/10/24, 5/8/24), community meetings, and 1:1 meetings with the school leadership team. They also provided feedback through surveys (network and informal school-specific), including the Annual Parent Survey (March 4-27).
Students	Teachers held Community Meetings with students regarding their experience, perspectives, and needs. Teachers shared student feedback from these discussions with school leadership to inform plans. Students also provided input on the Student Survey (March 4-8).

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Goal 1:

In 1.1 Personalized Learning, SSC members appreciate the tutoring for math, feeling that it encourages student participation and boosts learning. In 1.3 Special Education Supports, supporting the effectiveness of this action, our SSC noted there is clear communication for student proficiency and strong partnership. They appreciated the visibility and transparency provided in the program. In our Services to Support Multilingual Learners (Action 1.4), we received feedback from our multilingual families on the need to increase program awareness for families, beginning with what is the process for identifying multilingual families and then what supports are in place and available for multilingual students, and actions that families can take at home to support their student. As a result of this feedback, we added ELAC ambassadors--a parent representative in the SSC for multilingual families (see Action 5.2 Family Advocacy and Leadership). In 1.4 Services to Support Emerging Bilingual Students, our Multilingual Learner leads, school staff managing the program at each site, report feeling more confident and that they have the resources they need to implement the Designated English Language Development programs on their campuses, supporting the effectiveness of this action. In 1.5 Rocketship Reads, SSC members noted high appreciation for the program, including how students are provided books to read at home, and highly recommended to continue it, which we plan to do.

Goal 2:

In High Quality Research Based Curriculum (Action 2.1), we received positive feedback from teachers regarding the extensive resources that come with the new ELA and Math curriculum. Similarly, families appreciate the robust family resources, including communications and videos. Students have shared that they like the use of manipulatives in math learning, and they appreciate the additional time in small group instruction they receive under the new curriculum. Based in part on this feedback, we will be continuing to utilize the new curriculum and look forward to year two of implementation, and we plan to pilot a Tier 1 curriculum for grades 3-5 in hopes that it will have similarly positive results. SSC members noted their appreciation for the strong focus on Math, Reading, and Writing, supporting the effectiveness of this action. In 2.2 Professional Development, teachers expressed the need for additional planning time given the new curriculum. We adjusted our schedule to provide additional time, and we plan to continue this support. We received teacher feedback pointing to the need to change our teacher preparation program partner (Action 2.5 Teacher Credentialing). We received input from our school leaders, teachers, and staff on the effectiveness of our assessments (2.3 Data Driven Instructional Practices). They are very happy with the high retention of assessment proctors, leading to increased familiarity and stronger skills and knowledge base. We heard similar input from our Multilingual Learner leads. We also got feedback from ETS and our state success agent that we have improved in our implementation. We attribute this success to having in-person training and practice on the technical aspects of the administration.Teachers have appreciated the shorter amount of time it takes to administer reading assessment and the increase in rigor aligned to Common Core of our math assessments.

Goal 3:

In High Quality Research Based Curriculum (Action 2.1), we received positive feedback from teachers regarding the extensive resources that come with the new ELA and Math curriculum. Similarly, families appreciate the robust family resources, including communications and videos. Students have shared that they like the use of manipulatives in math learning, and they appreciate the additional time in small group instruction they receive under the new curriculum. Based in part on this feedback, we will be continuing to utilize the new curriculum and look forward to year two of implementation, and we plan to pilot a Tier 1 curriculum for grades 3-5 in hopes that it will have similarly positive results. SSC members noted their appreciation for the strong focus on Math, Reading, and Writing, supporting the effectiveness of this action. In 2.2 Professional Development, teachers expressed the need for additional planning time given the new curriculum. We adjusted our schedule to provide additional time, and we plan to continue this support. We received teacher feedback pointing to the need to change our teacher preparation program partner (Action 2.5 Teacher Credentialing). We received input from our school leaders, teachers, and staff on the effectiveness of our assessments (2.3 Data Driven Instructional Practices). They are very happy with the high retention of assessment proctors, leading to increased familiarity and stronger skills and knowledge base. We heard similar input from our Multilingual Learner leads. We also got feedback from ETS and our state success agent that we have improved in our implementation. We attribute this success to

having in-person training and practice on the technical aspects of the administration.Teachers have appreciated the shorter amount of time it takes to administer reading assessment and the increase in rigor aligned to Common Core of our math assessments.

Goal 4:

The Care Corps team continually seeks input from families on any help needed in meeting basic needs, and family input continues to show a great need among families for services such as food, shelter, medical, and other resources. In response, we expanded our Care Corps program and integrated the Community Schools program with it so that we can support more families with wrap-around services (Care Corps Action 4.4).

Goal 5:

During the implementation of the new Math and Reading curriculum described in Goal 1, Action 1, we heard from families that although there is much they appreciate about the program, some of the content is taught in ways different than they learned it. Our SSC also suggested having workshops to help parents help students at home. To address this, we're having academic community meetings about the curriculum and sharing ways parents can support students at home, as part of Family Engagement (Action 5.1). In 23-24 we added a fall mini-survey in addition to our annual Spring family survey. Our intent was to get feedback after the first 30 days of the school year and be able to make timely adjustments before surveying again in Spring. The valuable feedback received led to several plan improvements. First, we plan to continue the fall mini-survey as part of our family engagement. Additionally, we learned that orientation was a meaningful experience for our families, so we plan to continue this event. Next, in asking what additional family engagement events they would like to have, we learned that families would like to have cultural celebrations. We implemented many cultural celebrations throughout the year and plan to continue these in the coming year, based on positive family feedback (Action 5.1 Family Engagement). In 5.3 School-Family Partnership, families appreciate the established drop off and pick up procedures. They appreciate in general the communication from the school. Our SSC also noted strong communication from teachers to families, how they are open to feedback, and how it shows that teachers have been well coached. Families had expressed some concern for student safety during lunch and recess playtimes, and they expressed appreciation for a system put in place to communicate to parents when minor injuries occur on the playground. We also plan to welcome parent volunteers to support with additional supervision (Action 5.1).

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Improve Rocketeers' proficiency in key content areas, overall and for key subgroups.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

This goal addresses state priorities 2-Implementation of State Standards, 4-Pupil Achievement and 8-Other Pupil Outcomes.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Closing the achievement gap and creating educational equity is of the highest priority for our school. The actions in this goal are designed to develop proficiency in key content areas, combining rigorous grade level instruction with personalization and student supports. The aligned metrics support progress monitoring by capturing growth and proficiency in ELA, Math, Science, and English Language Development. We are proud of the progress of our students and must continue our actions to sustain this progress. We will measure the effectiveness of 1.1 Personalized Learning, 1.2 Special Education Supports, and 1.4 Rocketship Reads actions using the CAASPP ELA, Math, and Science metrics, as well as NWEA Reading and Math results. We will measure the effectiveness of 1.3 Services to Support Multilingual Students through English Learner Progress Indicator and EL Reclassification Rate metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline

1.1	CAASPP ELA: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups Data Source: Data Quest	All students: 34.4% English Learners: 17.9% SED: 28.2% Students with Disabilities: 0.0% Asian: 70.4% Hispanic/Latinx: 27.3% Data Year: 2022-23	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	39% Data Year: 2025-26	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
1.2	CAASPP Math: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups Data Source: Data Quest	All students: 30.7% English Learners: 12.4% SED: 24.7% Students with Disabilities: 11.8% Asian: 70.4% Hispanic/Latinx: 23.2% Data Year: 2022-23	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	36% Data Year: 2025-26	[Insert current difference from baseline here]

1.3	CAASPP Science: % Met or Exceeded Standard for all students and all significant subgroups Data Source: Data Quest	All students: 13.0% English Learners: 3.7% SED: 12.5% Hispanic/Latinx: 5.3% Data Year: 2022-23	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	18% Data Year: 2025-26	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
1.4	Avg Growth Years for Reading on NWEA (K-2) Data Source: NWEA MAP	1.34 Years Data Year: 2023-24			1.2 Years Data Year: 2026-27	
1.5	Avg Growth Years for Math on NWEA (K-2) Data Source: NWEA MAP	1.38 Years Data Year: 2023-24			1.2 Years Data Year: 2026-27	
1.6	English Learner Progress Indicator (% of EL students increased at least one ELPI level or maintained the ELP criterion (Level 4)) Data Source: CA Dashboard	45% Data Year: 2022-23			55% Data Year: 2025-26	

| 1.7 | EL Reclassification Rate

Data Source:

SIS Demographics | 4.6%
Data Year: 2023-24
(as of 3/29/24) | | | 15%
Data Year:
2026-27 | |

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal Analysis for 2024-25

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

| 1.1 | Personalized Learning | RDP''s key instructional practices include personalization, blended learning, data-driven instruction, Intervention and teacher specialization. The specific investments for intervention include Intervention curriculum and tutors. Title I funds are used for tutors and teacher assistants who provide small group instruction during the school day in order to fill learning gaps and accelerate learning. Our objective every day is to get the right lesson to the right child at the right time. We assume that every child, especially the children in the neighborhoods we serve, will have unique learning needs that must be addressed individually. The Rocketship model combines traditional classroom instruction with blended learning, which enables online learning programs technology, small group instruction and tutoring. All students, including our Special Education students, access and benefit from this instructional model as our school operates an inclusion model. In particular, our students in Special Education benefit from our Intervention model in which they receive additional Tier II and Tier III tutoring from the general education, special education, Learning Lab and paraprofessional staff. This personalized instruction occurs in the learning lab, making investments in Learning Lab Materials and classroom libraries important so that each student has the materials to receive instruction at his or her level. In addition, our adaptive Online Learning Programs (OLPs) are able to adapt to each student's level, ensuring that all aspects of our instructional program are appropriately differentiated for our students in Special Education. | $ 540,193 ($ 170,559 Title I) | Yes |

We invest in Chromebooks and invest budget each year to maintain a 1:1, student to Chromebook ratio. Additionally, we provide robust technological supports to ensure that our students have consistent access to the full curriculum. In 24-25, we will be in year two of implementing a new Humanities/ELA intervention curriculum. Participating students are grouped based on beginning and mid-year benchmark or universal screening scores and receive the intervention either during their core class or during Learning Lab, with support from teachers and tutors in small groups of 3-4 students.

Title I Evidence-Based Strategies

		The Personalized Learning action, as described above, combines adaptive intervention curriculum for learning acceleration with high quality tutoring in a small group setting. These evidence-based educational strategies are designed to meet the needs of our students identified through the comprehensive needs assessment in order to support them to meet the challenging State academic content standards. During learning lab time, all students utilize adaptive online learning platforms to fill gaps and accelerate learning. Title I-funded tutors pull small groups of students for tutoring based on assessment data, targeting students most in need of academic support, including low income students, English learners, students with disabilities, students in foster care, students experiencing homelessness, and other historically underserved groups.		
1.2	Special Education Supports	Although RDP runs an inclusion model, we realize that our students with disabilities (SWD) may require additional academic, social-emotional, and adaptive skills support to be successful learners. These additional supports include additional assessments, such as psycho-educational assessments, speech-language assessments and occupational therapy assessments; additional staffing supports, such as adaptive PE instructors, physical therapists, mental health supports and assistive technology specialists; additional materials, including instructional supplies for speech lessons, counseling materials for school psychologists and occupational therapy materials; and adaptive technology.	$ 441,528	No

| 1.3 | Services to Support Multilingual Students | Our continued goal is that emerging bilingual students make steady progress towards English language proficiency, by growing at least one level on the ELPAC assessment and reach proficiency prior to grade 5. This will also benefit students in their access to content instruction, as students who have been reclassified have sustained academic achievement each year.

Last year 23-24, we built on EL Roadmap Principle 1 and focused on EL Roadmap Principle 2 by investing in intellectual quality of instruction and meaningful access, which included embedding English language development (ELD) principles into curriculum and instruction, as well as explicitly teaching ELD during a portion of the day. The EL Framework helped us identify opportunities to elevate our practices and innovate in services of educational equity.

To amplify the implementation of Integrated ELD, we used "Universal Strategies" to provide access to content. Designated ELD focused on developing oral language, building academic vocabulary, and expressing ideas in speaking and writing. To respond to the diverse linguistic profiles in our communities, our designated ELD lessons were differentiated for newcomers. Teachers also increased their knowledge on newcomers strategies through campus held professional development and modules.

We invested in formative assessment to monitor progress towards both of our program goals, making progress towards English proficiency and mastery of grade level standards. We measured student progress in Lexia English (units and levels) to ensure that students stay on track to achieve their ELPAC growth goals. In addition to Lexia English, we used formative assessments aligned to the ELD standards such as piloting the ELPAC Interim Assessments (Writing Domain only in non standardized testing) to measure movement along the language proficiency continuum. | $ 34,577 | No |

| | | In 24.25 we will continue to build on EL Roadmap Principle 2 by continuing to explicitly teach ELD during protected time of the day and focusing on higher quality Tier 1 instruction through the use of stronger integrated ELD strategies. We will revamp our rollout plan for Universal Strategies to strategically respond to the needs of all campuses and provide resources and support for its integration in all learning spaces, and/or elevate teacher practice on GLAD strategies through professional development and coaching support. We will continue to invest in formative assessments, by fully administering the ELPAC Interim Assessments in a standardized testing environment for all language domains to inform instruction and student practice.

In engaging families of multilingual learners and strengthening our schools' partnership with them, our school will continue to elect an ELAC Ambassador to represent multilingual learners in SSC and other spaces. To develop their knowledge on the multilingual learners program, ELAC Ambassadors will meet three times a year and attend walkthroughs on campus to observe instruction, including social emotional learning. During SSC/ELAC meetings, ELAC Ambassadors will lead the conversation with schools on multilingual learners support and achievement. They will have multiple opportunities to collaborate with their schools to increase at home support, and advocate for high quality instruction. | | |
| 1.4 | Rocketship Reads | Rocketship Discovery Prep will implement a Rocketship Reads campaign to increase student participation in reading, with the hope of improving ELA scores. At school, this will include working with our humanities teachers on modeling reading as an everyday activity and celebrating students. At home, this will include daily reading of a book of interest. | $ 77,928 | Yes |

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Rocketeers will be taught by highly qualified teachers and educators with robust training and development on common core standards aligned curriculum	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

This broad goal addresses state priorities 1-Basic Services and 2-Implementation of Academic Standards.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Rocketship deeply values our teacher development, and the action items related to this goal are core components of teacher preparedness and development and access to standards-aligned curriculum and instruction. We will monitor progress toward this goal through implementation of adopted standards, provision of credentialed and properly assigned teachers, and access to standards aligned instructional materials. We will measure the effectiveness of 2.1 High quality, research-based curriculum, 2.2 Professional Development, 2.3 Data Driven Instructional Practices, and 2.4 Instructional Coaching through % of students with access to their own copies of standards aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home and % of adopted standards including ELD standards implemented. We will measure the effectiveness of 2.5 Teacher Credentialing through the Number/% of total and EL teachers credentialed & properly assigned.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	% of students with access to their own copies of standards aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home Data Source: Williams' Textbook Sufficiency Report	100% Data Year: 2022-23	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	100% Data Year: 2025-26	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
2.2	Number/% of total and EL teachers credentialed & properly assigned Data Source: Internal Staffing Data Tracker	100% Data Year: 2022-23	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	100% Data Year: 2025-26	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
2.3	% of adopted standards including ELD standards implemented Data Source: Observations and Coaching Logs	100% Data Year: 2022-23	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	100% Data Year: 2025-26	[Insert current difference from baseline here]

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal Analysis for 2024-25

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

| 2.1 | High Quality, Research-based Curriculum | The RDP curriculum follows the California adoption of the Common Core State Standards ("CCSS") for the subject areas of: English/Language Arts (includes Writing), and Mathematics, as well as the state standards for Social Studies, Art and Music and the Next Generation Science Standards. Rocketship has established ELA and Math focus standards – the most rigorous CCSS at each grade level – as the most important markers of success in order to prioritize the focus of instruction while also ensuring that all grade-level standards are addressed in every course. RDP operates an inclusion model and therefore this core curriculum will benefit all students including students in Special Education. Rocketship teachers will use the ELD Standards and Framework to embed analytical tasks, receptive tasks and productive language functions into the curriculum to aid language acquisition. RDP utilizes a Balanced Literacy approach for ELA instruction with a significant focus on Guided Reading. Expanding the breadth and depth of our classroom libraries will ensure that all students have access to a wide variety of texts to meet the different genre requirements in Common Core, as well as ensuring that all students have access to books at their appropriate reading level. For EL students, this can be particularly motivating and a useful strategy to engage reluctant or struggling readers. These expanded libraries will also enable us to loan out books for students to take home, so that families can support reading and language acquisition efforts at home. The continued use of online learning programs (OLPs) ensures that students receive personalized instruction and appropriate supports. For 24-25, we will continue the implementation of the math curriculum and k-2 reading begun in 23-24, and we plan to pilot a Tier 1 reading curriculum for grades 3-5. | $ 44,574 | No |

2.2	Professional Development	Professional Development is a critical component of the RDP program. We will continue to implement a comprehensive program of professional development informed by data and best practices in order to continually improve and progress toward our goals. We will continue to build on the increased differentiation of professional development for teachers and leaders to better meet the adults where they are at. We will adapt the content of professional development as needed, based on the needs of our students, and we will adjust summer professional development for each subsequent year to better prepare teachers and leaders to meet the needs. Summer PD Each summer, RDP hosts an intensive three week summer training for all teachers that emphasizes foundational knowledge in culture and classroom. We provide training in classroom management and effective planning, including daily lessons, units, and yearlong plans. We also introduce foundational components of the Rocketship program, including the use of data, instructional techniques, and the scope and sequence of curricula, and family engagement. Sessions are differentiated by subject and grade and focus on skill-building to maximize teacher time. Thursday PD Rocketship schools dedicate at least 200 hours throughout the school year for staff PD. We dismiss students two and a half hours early one day a week to allow for an afternoon of purposeful and customized PD and culture building for staff. The Principals and Assistant Principals at each school facilitate and organize sessions at each school, targeting the areas of development they see as most beneficial to the staff, personalizing supports for teachers. Professional Development Fund RDP has a number of veteran Rocketship teachers who express a strong desire to continue honing their craft and developing as professionals. RDP will establish a professional development fund to reward high-performing teachers with additional learning opportunities and to incentivize experienced teachers to continue working at Rocketship where their development is made a priority.	$ 187,374	Yes

| 2.3 | Data Driven Instructional Practices | Students will take a variety of internal and external assessments to determine progress and areas of weakness. Assessments include:

• At least one round of STEM state test primer assessment and Science

• At least one round of Humanities state test primer assessment

• NWEA three times per year for K-2, NWEA three times per year for 3-5.

• DIBELS at least four times per year

• State-mandated CAASPP

• Alternative state assessments SANDI and CAA for students with severe cognitive disabilities

• State-mandated EL Testing (ELPAC)

• Initial and summative Alternative ELPAC for English Learners with severe cognitive disabilities

Following administration of each round of end-of-unit assessments, the teachers, Assistant Principal, and Principal at RDP will have a full day analyzing interim assessment data. As a key component of these data days, a teacher identifies overall positive trends of the entire class and challenges as well. Learning how to better utilize data enables teachers to improve instructional practices and better serve all students. | $ 64,910 | Yes |
| 2.4 | Instructional Coaching | The foundational piece of our ongoing staff development is customized, targeted one-on-one coaching provided by Assistant Principals or Principals to teachers. RDP teachers receive ongoing coaching and support from the Assistant Principal or Principal responsible for their grade level. Teachers also engage in content-focused coaching in like content groups. This typically includes about three hours per week of support for our newest teachers or others who need extra support. This helps teachers better instruct all students, including ELs and students in Special Education. | $ 208,884 | Yes |

| 2.5 | Teacher Credentialing | Our team will continue to ensure 100% of our teachers are in compliance. We are working diligently to communicate requirements and work with school teams to support teachers with studying and passing necessary tests.We will be providing staff with additional study resources and PTO designated for Credentialing related items. We hope this will help them feel supported in the process and will enable them to pass exams on their first attempt. We have initiated a new Program Partnership with Reach University that will provide staff with an Early Completion option and a Traditional Two year route to earning their Preliminary Credential. We continue to strengthen our internal career pathway by also partnering with Reach University to allow staff to earn their Bachelor's degree in Liberal studies. The Liberal Studies BA further prepares them for the teaching profession by earning equivalency for the Basic Skills and Content knowledge requirements.We are excited to see teachers continue to pass their tests and enroll and pursue their Preliminary Credential in the 24-25 school year. | $ 20,094 | No |
| 2.6 | Culturally Responsive Pedagogy | RDP is committed to cultural competence in honoring and welcoming our students' community and elevating our curricula to be more and more representative and culturally competent, providing windows and mirrors for Rocketeers through our classroom libraries and text selection. This includes refining our curriculum with socio-political consciousness, where we are building culturally responsive and inclusive lesson objectives and resources embedded within Humanities and SEL lessons. | $0 | No |

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	School environment will be safe, welcoming, joyful, and efficient for all students and families	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

This broad goal addresses state priorities 1-Basic Services and 6-School Climate.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Safety is a top priority, and we believe that a safe, welcoming, joyful and efficient environment forms a strong foundation for learning. The actions in this goal are key components of strong operations and positive school climate. We will monitor progress toward this goal through key indicators of a safe and positive environment-- facilities inspections and perceptions of safety. We will measure the effectiveness of 3.1

Operations Staffing & Development, 3.3 Safety Training, and 3.4 School-wide Operational Systems & Routines through student and family survey results on perceptions of campus safety. We will measure the effectiveness of 3.2 Facilities Maintenance through Facilities Inspections meeting the "good repair" standard.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	% of facilities meeting the "good repair" standard iAuditor Internal Data	100% Data Year: 2022-23 Data Source:	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	100% Data Year: 2025-26	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
3.2	% of students feel safe on campus (As measured by student survey) Data Source: Internal Survey	76% Data Year: 2023-24	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	75% Data Year: 2026-27	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
3.3	% of families feel campus is safe (As measured by family survey) Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	93% Data Year: 2023-24	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	85% Data Year: 2026-27	[Insert current difference from baseline here]

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal Analysis for 2024-25

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

| 3.1 | Operations Staffing & Development | Our school employs a Business Operations Manager to manage support staff and oversee the daily operations of the school including the school breakfast and lunch program, arrival and dismissal, and the safety and cleanliness of all common spaces. Our BOM serves as safety lead for campus, planning and leading safety training for all school-based staff at the site. Our BOM is responsible for knowing the safety plan, communicating it to the team, holding the safety meetings with the school leadership team, and ensuring compliance with required safety drills. Our BOM acts as liaison to ensure safety protocols are followed with afterschool programs as well. Our BOM ensures all safety equipment and supplies are complete, current, and functioning well.

Operations Specialists fulfill essential functions as planned in supporting meal programs, daily transitions on campus, and all aspects of operations. Operations Specialists staff arrival and dismissal and lead recess and lunch for all students. They also support daily operations tasks like copies for teachers, doing physical space walkthroughs, delivering packages to classrooms, and other functions. Operations Specialists serve as our families' daily touchpoint with the school, and are a key aspect of school safety and parent satisfaction. | $ 337,101 | Yes |

3.2	Facilities Maintenance	We ensure that school facilities are in good repair through preventative maintenance. This includes annual inspections aligned with the state Office of Public School Construction Facilities Inspection tool. As a result, we invest in necessary repairs and upgrades to ensure the school is a safe and welcoming environment for students, families and staff. Our school will invest in new furniture to replace aging furniture items. This contributes to a feeling of safety in the classroom. We will continue to update and upgrade technology that is used for instruction in the classrooms. This may include projector replacements, phasing out older models with better ones, and introducing different technology according to the needs of the site Teachers will be given a budget for purchases related to decorating their room, and/or outfitting their classroom with additional materials not covered under the instructional supply budget. In order to provide a safe and clean environment in which teachers can focus on teaching, students can focus on learning, and school leaders can focus on leading, we utilize a custodial service to ensure the daily upkeep of the campus and to identify any safety concerns or necessary repairs of the building.	$ 195,688	No
3.3	Safety Training	We annually update our school safety plan, including feedback from the police and fire departments. In alignment with these plans, we provide a full program of safety training and information to be shared with staff, students and parents. We conduct three annual safety audits to ensure that all safety procedures are being followed, and this feedback is provided to the BOM so they can act on it to ensure all protocols are being followed on campus, including streamlined sign-in procedures for all staff and visitors that provide for a timely understanding of who is entering our school. In our safety plans, we have requested that everyone in the community report any suspicious activities around or on campus to the principal for further investigation. We are training our staff members to use the technology and facilities around them to keep students safe during school and during our extended day programs.	$ 11,805	No

3.4	School-wide Operational Systems & Routines	Our operations team receives feedback on their operations systems and routines three times per year. After each cycle of feedback, they create an action plan to address the feedback. They engage in progress monitoring with their staff to improve the systems in between the cycles of feedback. In order to support our BOM in their critical functions, we provide extensive training and coaching opportunities. Professional development for BOMs includes training in the skills that make them strong and effective leaders, such as influence, collaboration, and effective communication, in addition to the concrete operations systems and tasks critical to their role.We continue to invest in the development and p.d. of our Ops Specialists, with opportunities such as network PD monthly, 2x monthly one-on-ones with BOMs, and joining whole-school p.d.s depending on the topic. We develop areas of technical expertise among our Operations Specialists, such as IT, Compliance, and Behavior Management.	$0	No

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	Rocketship students will have access to opportunities that support them to become well-rounded, self motivated, engaged community members.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

This broad goal addresses state priorities 6-School Climate; and 7-Course Access.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Delivering a well-rounded education builds the critical thinking, team work, character skills and values that our Rocketeers need to thrive in school and life. Actions in this goal support student development and engagement. We will monitor progress toward this goal through key indicators of climate, course access, and student engagement--suspension/expulsion rates, access to a broad course of study, and student perceptions of connectedness. Specifically, we will measure the effectiveness of 4.1 Enrichment and 4.2 Outdoor Education and Community Experiences through the % of students with access to a broad course of study, including unduplicated students and students with exceptional needs. We will use the student perceptions of connectedness, Suspension rate, and Expulsion rate metrics to measure the effectiveness of 4.3 Social Emotional Learning and 4.4 Care Corps actions.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	% of students feel connected to at least one staff member (As measured by student survey) Data Source: Internal Survey	80% Data Year: 2023-24	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	75% Data Year: 2026-27	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
4.2	Suspension rate for all students and all significant subgroups Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	0% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of 3/29/24)			All Students: 0% Data Year: 2026-27	
4.3	Expulsion rate for all students and all significant subgroups Data Source: SIS Discipline Reports	0% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of 3/29/24)			All Students: 0% Data Year: 2026-27	

| 4.4 | % of students with access to a broad course of study, including unduplicated students and students with exceptional needs

Data Source: SIS Schedule Data | 100%
Data Year: 2022-23 | | | 100%
Data Year: 2025-26 | |

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal Analysis for 2024-25

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Enrichment	Enrichment is a critical component of a students' education at Rocketship. In addition to aligning with CCSS standards, time spent in the Enrichment Center provides students with fun and engaging activities that increase their focus and commitment during core instruction. The Enrichment Center Coordinators provide students with the opportunity to engage in physical education, art, science, and various other enrichment activities, so that our students, including English learners, low income students, foster youth, and students with exceptional needs, have access to a broad course of study. The Coordinators play a critical role in strengthening school culture. Importantly, enrichment also provides an opportunity for students to excel and show off talents that may not be immediately apparent in a general education setting. For our students in Special Education, this can be an especially motivating and engaging portion of their day.	$ 121,968	Yes
4.2	Outdoor Education and Community Experiences	Field Trips provide an important opportunity to both deepen students' learning and increase engagement. Many of the field trips taken are science and/or social studies related, enabling teachers to integrate the learning into their thematic units back in the classroom. In addition, parents often attend field trips with their students, thereby increasing parental engagement as well. The cornerstones of our field trip experience are Fourth and Fifth Grade Camp from Santa Cruz to Sebastopol. Each year, Rocketship 4th graders attend a three-day overnight Outdoor Education program, and Rocketship 5th graders go for one week of hiking, science lessons, outdoor exploration and fun. For many Rocketeers, this is one of their first experiences leaving home. Field trips and overnight trips will be held to the extent possible in consideration of public health guidelines, availability, and stakeholder input.	$ 58,090	Yes

| 4.3 | Social Emotional Learning | At Rocketship Discovery Prep we look to the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) to define and describe our intentions when developing programs and measuring students' social-emotional development, the CASEL Framework identifies 5 competencies: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making. Our daily schedule reflects our value of SEL as every student begins their day with a Community Meeting that blends relationship-building elements with an SEL lesson that includes prosocial skills instruction and highlights our Rocketship Discovery Prep core values: respect, responsibility, persistence, empathy, and creative expression. Our Tier 1 SEL Curriculum (The Shortest Distance) is designed with consideration for the CASEL framework, our Rocketship core values, and culturally responsive pedagogy. The Shortest Distance provides daily lessons which include children's literature as a platform for discussion, reflection, and social skills practice. Our SEL approach also draws upon resources from RULER, Zones of Regulation, and the Kimochis curriculum. Along with our SEL lessons, we have developed a community meeting framework for teachers to ensure there are relationship building opportunities for students to connect, disengage stress, make commitments, and unite as a community.

In addition to the classroom level community meeting, each Rocketship campus begins the school day with Launch, which is a period of time for the whole school to come together as a community. This usually includes one of the core values being emphasized and celebrated (i.e., a "core value of the month"). During Launch, the school may also promote a particular successful student habit, such as preparedness, going above and beyond, or kindness/bullying prevention. Schools may also teach a new feature of an incentive or behavior management system. Launch is also a time to promote school-wide academic progress. School leaders may teach everyone a word or idiom of the day or celebrate progress toward achievement goals. Finally, schools may use Launch time to foster school culture and pride, and student engagement. The whole school, including the staff, may learn a song or a dance together or celebrate a particular student or classroom's recent accomplishment. | $ 116,057 | No |

		Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) is a framework for creating learning environments that are consistent, predictable, positive, and safe. Our school has a PBIS Team comprised of a cross-section of staff members, including teachers, school leaders, and operational and support staff members, who work to support positive behavior and behavior interventions at the school. We screen students for behavioral and social concerns using a universal screener and provide Tier 2 and Tier 3 social and behavioral support, as needed.		
		We also utilize Wellness Counselors for individual student support and providing small group support working with the SEL curriculum and other evidenced-based and trauma-informed curriculum. This year we will be increasing our tiers of support. We are adding a Wellness Counselor intern program to our already existing Wellness Counseling team. We will be leveraging this program to increase our tier 2 and tier 3 support (i.e. social skills groups, counseling, check-in check-out, etc.) in order to meet the expected increased needs of our students.		

| 4.4 | Care Corps | In order for our students to have the capacity to learn and grow--and the space to become life-long learners--they need to have their basic needs (e.g., food, shelter, safety) met. Our Care Corps staff regularly check in with families and teachers throughout the school year to identify families who may benefit from community support in making sure that their students' needs are all met. Care Corps staff are familiar with community resources, including those available to Rocketship families due to partnerships with community organizations, and can refer families to resources that will meet their needs. Care Corps staff follow up with families to make sure that they are successful in accessing those resources and that their students are able to come to school ready to learn. We have expanded our CareCorps program to be an internal wrap-around Community Schools program supporting families with accessing medical, dental, mental, financial, immigration, and other various services at each of our 13 Bay Area school sites. This program is an integrated student support program working to address out-of-school barriers to learning through partnerships with social and health service agencies and providers. We conduct health screenings for all students, including vision, hearing, and dental. We partner with an outside organization to provide families with immunizations. We have four main initiatives:

Food Distribution: We service 800 families every month across San Jose and East Bay.

Pop Up Closet: Free clothing families can access either at food distribution sites or on campus.

Rocketship Parent University: Parent workshops in evenings throughout week on topics such as parenting, digital literacy, and Know Your Rights workshops (immigration, college access, housing, for example).

Health Screenings

The team of providers works to gain family and community engagement by securing partnerships & resources for adults in relation to educational opportunities, such as ESL classes, green card or citizenship preparation, computer skills, etc. The Community Schools program also includes a program to address chronic absenteeism. | $ 78,791 | No |

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5	Rocketship families are engaged in their students' education and advocate for their communities.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

This broad goal addresses state priorities 3-Parent Engagement and 5-Student Engagement.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

In order to achieve our academic growth and achievement goals, we know that families are critical partners in the endeavor. Family involvement is a cornerstone of our school model. Actions in this goal are key components of student and family engagement. We will monitor progress toward this goal through key indicators of engagement--attendance and absenteeism rates and measures of family outreach, parent involvement, and parent perceptions of satisfaction and connectedness. Specifically, we will measure the effectiveness of 5.1 Family Engagement, 5.2 Family Advocacy and Leadership, 5.3 School-Family Partnership, and 5.4 Los Dichos through % of parents who are involved, % of home visits completed, parent satisfaction and connectedness survey results, and parent input into decision making metrics. We plan to measure the effectiveness of 5.5 Attendance Initiatives through Attendance rate and Chronic Absenteeism rates.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
5.1	Average Daily Attendance Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	93.3% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of 3/29/24)	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	95% Data Year: 2026-27	[Insert current difference from baseline here]

5.2	Chronic Absenteeism rate for all students and all significant subgroups Data Source: SIS Attendance Reports	All Students: 20.3% English Learners: 19.5% Low Income: 20.3% Students with Disabilities: 20.0% Homeless: 51.4% Asian: 13.2% Black: 41.7% Hispanic/Latinx: 20.1% White: 28.6% Data Year: 2023-24 (as of 3/29/24)	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	All Students: 10% Data Year: 2026-27	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
5.3	% of parents are involved (% parents on track to complete participation hours) Data Source: Internal HelpCounter Data	89% Data Year 2023-24 (as of 5/3/24)	[Insert outcome here]	[Insert outcome here]	90% Data Year 2026-27	[Insert current difference from baseline here]
5.4	% Home visits completed	97% Data Year 2023-24 Data Source: Internal Data			95% Data Year 2026-27 Data Source: Internal Data	

5.5	% of parents who are satisfied with their school (reporting as agree or strongly agree on the parent survey) Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	83% Data Year 2023-24			85% Data Year 2026-27	
5.6	% of parents connected (As measured by parent survey question, "My Rocketship school has a strong and committed community that actively encourages family participation") Data Source: Annual Parent Survey	85% Data Year: 2023-24			85% Data Year 2026-27	

| 5.7 | Portion of parents with input into decision making (Parent survey participation rate)

Data Source:

Annual Parent Survey | 98%
Data Year: 2023-24 | | | 90%
Data Year 2026-27 | |

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Goal Analysis for 2024-25

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributin g

5.1	Family Engagement	We believe that families are their student's first teachers and are critical partners in student and school success. It is our responsibility to ensure all families have access to engage meaningfully with our schools, including those who speak a language other than English. Therefore, we must build and cultivate a strong sense of community where families build strong relationships with each other and with our teams to ensure families' basic needs are met. We have designed our family engagement model with particular attention to encouraging participation of parents and guardians of our low income students, English learners, foster youth, and students with exceptional needs. To accomplish this, we leverage key communication structures and core family engagement opportunities for all families, including: Communication: We keep our families informed through monthly calendars, messaging from school to families, an active social media presence, Google calendar. We seek family input through many channels, including twice annual family surveys. We conduct a smaller survey after the first 30 days of school in order to get actionable feedback which is then analyzed and addressed. We conduct a more comprehensive survey in spring to assess the impact of adjustments made and inform plans for the coming year. Relationship Building: We engage in home visits, challenging the traditional school-family power dynamic by going to our families' homes and building relationships with them. We also hold Family Conferences three times per year, and we host an annual New Family Spring Welcome Party. Cafecitos: An open forum to build relationships, share feedback, brainstorm opportunities, celebrate community/school wins, and learn about important school priorities/updates with the Principal and other school leaders. Community Meetings/Family Workshops: We hold Community Meetings/Family Workshops to increase awareness and provide skill-building opportunities for families to partner with teachers to positively impact academic student outcomes.	$ 83,515	Yes

| | | Family Events: Family events strengthen relationships between the school and at home by fostering joyful and engaging experiences for all. We also want our students to feel seen and know their whole identity is celebrated. These are meaningful experiences for the entire community to celebrate diverse cultures and build relationships in fun and engaging ways. Examples include: Día del Niño, Tet, Día de los Muertos, Lunar New Year, Black History Cultural Events, Holi, Diwali, Winter Showcase/Wonderland, Talent Show, Enrichment Fair, Art Expo, Movie Nights, Middle School Expo, or Family Reading Night. We hold summer events, such as Family Orientation and Back to School BBQ. We also hold Rocketeer Recognition Ceremonies to celebrate student growth and achievement.

College Visits: We hold an annual college trip to foster a college-going culture by allowing families and Rocketeers to see themselves on a college campus.

Family Partnership: We provide our families with opportunities to foster meaningful academic engagement and partnership both in and out of school, including, in addition to the above options, chaperoning camp/field trips, volunteering in class or on campus, engaging in out-of-school projects with students, and posting/sharing photos of engaging with students in extracurricular events. | | |

| 5.2 | Family Advocacy and Leadership | In engaging families, we believe it is critical to partner and co-create pathways for self-advocacy and critical consciousness that families can use to navigate the educational and political systems in and outside of Rocketship. We want our families to challenge the inequities they see and seek opportunities to elevate their voices as they advocate for their student/s within Rocketship and beyond.

Advocacy opportunities for all families include:

School Site Council (SSC) and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC):

SSC and ELAC Committees provide a space where parents can provide feedback on various school-related issues and provide the opportunity for our school team and parents to work collaboratively for the betterment of students and the school community. ELAC has a special focus on meeting the needs of our Multilingual Learners.

Regional Advisory Board: We will continue working through our Regional Advisory Board parent group, which consists of the parent leaders of each school site council. The Regional Advisory Board meetings regularly to offer feedback to our board and regional leadership.

California Leadership Organizing Committee (CLOC):

The CLOC is a collective of organizing leaders, comprised of families and staff, that unite to do collaborative work advocating for educational justice and beyond in our community. | $0 | No |

5.3	School-Family Partnership	Rocketship's school leadership team, consisting of the principal, assistant principal, and the business operations manager (BOM) and the office manager (OM), serves as the leaders of the school community. They are responsible for ensuring that a culture where parents are partners in student achievement is realized. To this end, they train and develop staff members in the practices that ensure successful parent engagement. It is their collective responsibility to ensure that home visits are completed, parent teacher conference goals are met, and that the school is on track with all parent engagement goals and responsive to parent input. In addition to the events and volunteer opportunities, the school leadership team will provide frequent communication to parents through written, social media, and other forums. Through the Care Corps program, schools will be equipped to continue to connect families to community resources and ensure that families have access to community resources on an ongoing basis.	$ 47,133	No
5.4	Los Dichos	Los Dichos is a parent, family, and community engagement activity where family members read stories that represent cultural and linguistic diversity of students. The books read are multilingual and teach students about various cultures and values. Each book has a dicho, or saying, that teaches a lesson to the students and reflects the story. After reading the book, parents and program facilitators lead activities and discussion on various topics such as family pride, peaceful conflict resolution, and other values. The Los Dichos program includes outreach to families, inviting them to be a part of what is happening in their students' learning. The program helps families, especially those families who speak Spanish or Vietnamese as their primary language, find pathways to help their children to improve their academic achievement and become active participants in the education of their children. The family members begin by taking an introductory course on developmental assets by a Rocketship's Los Dichos staff. We recruit 1 family member from each school site to serve as the Los Dichos lead parent to work in collaboration with school leaders, parents, and Rocketship Coordinator to ensure the program's implementation at each school. Families, Los Dichos lead parents, and Rocketship Coordinators meet monthly as a group to review and discuss lesson plans and activities before going into the classroom.	$ 18,527	No

| 5.5 | Attendance Initiatives | We work to ensure that our campuses are warm, welcoming, joyful environments that cultivate communities of deeply engaged families so that Rocketeers truly enjoy and look forward to attending school. We understand that regular student attendance is crucial to a student's academic and social progress and is an indicator of family engagement. We have learned that student attendance is impacted by many factors including unmet basic needs, transportation, etc. For this reason, we prioritize wrap-around services and resources for our families, primarily provided through our Care Corps action. In addition to providing a positive school environment and services to support basic needs of families, we have established tiered attendance systems and protocols to support regular school attendance. Tier I includes positive attendance incentives and daily contacts made for any absent students. Tier II supports begin once a student misses 2+ days within the week, with added outreach, action planning, and notifications provided. Tier III supports, which begin when a student is identified as chronically absent or truant, incorporate intensive supports and team-based monitoring that as needed can involve our formalized process of School Attendance Review Team (SART) potential referrals to our Regional Attendance Review Board (RARB). We work to ensure that our systems and process are followed with fidelity so that attendance barriers are addressed and families are equipped with resources to improve attendance. | $ 41,977 | No |

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students for [LCAP Year]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
$ 732,885	$0

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
16.71%	0%	$0	16.71%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #(s)	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

| 1.1 Personalized Learning 1.4 Rocketship Reads 2.1 Professional Development 2.3 Data Driven Instructional Practices 2.4 Instructional Coaching | In reviewing the 2023 ELA and Math performance of our English Learners and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students, we find that both groups are at yellow level for ELA (EL -52.7, SED -52.4) and orange in Math (EL -52.8, SED -55). We had green level performance in 2023 on the English Learner Progress Indicator, with 45% of students making progress towards English language proficiency. In 2023-24, our EL Reclassification rate was 4.6% as of 3/29/24. Our English learners and socioeconomically disadvantaged students need teachers who can provide differentiated instruction to meet their varied needs. | Based on a review of data, we have designed the 2025-27 LCAP to implement strategies that effectively address learning gaps where needed while promoting rigorous grade level instruction. Every Rocketship student is provided personalized learning. Personalized learning is about reaching each student with the right content at the right time using the right method of instruction. This process is guided by assessment data. Implementing a regular cycle of assessments ensures that our teachers have accurate data for instruction. Data Driven Instructional Practices provide high quality assessments and professional development time set aside for teachers to analyze data and plan differentiated lessons and supports. Our teachers lead the learning process for every single student we serve in both whole group grade level instruction and differentiated instruction that meets students at their individual level. We use tutors and adaptive online learning programs to augment teacher-led instruction and provide valuable time for students to practice new concepts and develop the mastery they need to advance. This is especially helpful for our unduplicated students who may have very different needs from their peer students. Every week, all teachers receive at least four hours of support outside the classroom, are observed by Assistant Principals at least twice, receive at least two hours of professional development, and have a one-on-one meeting with their coaches. This instructional coaching helps our teachers better understand how to meet the needs of their students, particularly EL, Foster Youth and low-income students. These actions are designed to meet the needs most associated with our low income students, foster youth and English learners. However, because we expect that all students struggling with ELA and/or Math | 1.1 CAASPP ELA, 1.1 CAASPP Math, 1.6 English Learner Progress Indicator, 1.7 Reclassification Rate |

		will benefit, they are LEA-wide actions.	
3.1 Operations Staffing & Development 4.1 Enrichment 4.2 Field Trips	In 2023, our Socioeconomically disadvantaged students and English Learners had a 0% suspension rate. 2024 survey results showed that 76% of students feel safe on campus and 80% of students feel connected to at least one staff member. Our English learners and socioeconomically disadvantaged students need a positive school culture and climate that is responsive to their specific needs, nurtures their social emotional well being, and provides enriching opportunities for growth.	Based on the data, we see positive signs of the impact of our efforts to foster a positive culture and climate in support of students both holistically and academically, and progress yet to be made. Operations Staffing & Development is an action designed to foster that positive culture and climate. Critical components of these additional efforts are operations staff positions--our Business Operations Manager who ensures a safe, clean school campus in order to ensure the best environment for learning and our Operations Specialists who ensure students can safely and efficiently transition during different times of the day. Supporting their efforts is the School-wide Operational Systems & Routines, which provides a structure for the work of operations that is informed by data and enhanced by a continuous improvement cycle and frequent professional development and coaching. We provide Enrichment opportunities and field trips to support the development of the whole child. Outdoor Education and Community Experiences provide opportunities to engage outside of the traditional classroom. These actions are designed to meet the needs most associated with our low income students, foster youth and English learners. However, because we expect that all students struggling with engagement will benefit, they are LEA-wide actions.	3.2 % of students feel safe on campus 4.1 % of student feel connected to at least one staff member 4.2 Suspension Rate

5.1 Family Engagement	Our 2024 survey and internal data showed that 83% of parents were satisfied with their school, 89% of parents were involved in their school, 97% of families received home visits. In order to achieve our academic growth and achievement goals, we know that families are critical partners in the endeavor. We know that families of our socioeconomically disadvantaged students may benefit from additional opportunities that increase their ability to support their students' learning, to advocate for their needs and prepare to successfully navigate the systems in high school and college. Families of English Learners benefit from additional outreach to support their students in English Language Development. We also know that in order to be successful, we need to provide additional communication, in languages other than English where needed, and that the school needs continual parent input to inform plans.	A robust Family Engagement program provides families additional avenues for communication, input, and engagement that are tailored to their needs and responsive to their feedback. These actions are designed to meet the needs most associated with our low income students, foster youth and English learners. However, because we expect that all families will benefit, they are LEA-wide actions.	5.3 % of parents are involved (% parents on track to complete participation hours) 5.4 % Home visits completed 5.5 % of parents who are satisfied with their school (reporting as agree or strongly agree on the parent survey)

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
[Goal and Action #]	[A description of the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served]	[A description of how the action is designed to address those identified need(s)]	[A description of the metric(s) being used to monitor effectiveness]
[Goal and Action #]	[A description of the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served]	[A description of how the action is designed to address those identified need(s)]	[A description of how the action's effectiveness will be measured]
[Goal and Action #]	[A description of the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served]	[A description of how the action is designed to address those identified need(s)]	[A description of how the action's effectiveness will be measured]

Insert or delete rows, as necessary.

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

[Provide description here]

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

N/A

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	N/A	N/A
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	N/A	N/A

California Department of Education
November 2023

2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
$ 4,386,898	$ 732,885	16.71%	0.000%	16.71%	$ 1,445,480	0.000%	32.95%	Total:	$ 1,445,480
								LEA-wide Total:	$ 1,445,480
								Limited Total:	$ -
								Schoolwide Total:	$ -

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group (s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1	Personalized Learning	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 305,710	0.000%
1	4	Rocketship Reads	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 77,928	0.000%
2	2	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 187,374	0.000%
2	3	Data Driven Instructional Practices	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 64,910	0.000%
2	4	Instructional Coaching	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 208,884	0.000%
3	1	Operations Staffing & Development	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 337,101	0.000%
4	1	Enrichment	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 121,968	0.000%
4	2	Outdoor Education and Community Experience	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 58,090	0.000%
5	1	Family Engagement	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	$ 83,515	0.000%
							$ -	0.000%

DRAFT

2024-25 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year (Input)	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
2024-25	$ 4,386,898	$ 732,885	16.71%	0.000%	16.71%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	$ 1,786,656	$ 653,266	$ -	$ 290,791	$ 2,730,713.16	$ 2,014,987	$ 715,726

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1	Personalized Learning	[Input student group(s)]	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 453,792	$ 86,401	$ 305,710	$ -	$ -	$ 234,483	$ 540,193	0.000%
1	2	Special Education Supports	Special Education	No	Limited	[Input unduplicated student group(s)]	RDP	Ongoing	$ 226,760	$ 214,767	$ -	$ 439,890	$ -	$ 1,637	$ 441,528	0.000%
1	3	Services to Support Multilingual Students	ELL	No	Limited	[Input unduplicated student group(s)]	RDP	Ongoing	$ 13,098	$ 21,479	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 34,577	$ 34,577	0.000%
1	4	Rocketship Reads	[Input student group(s)]	Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 66,572	$ 11,356	$ 77,928	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 77,928	0.000%
2	1	High quality, research-based curriculum	[Input student group(s)]	No	LEA-wide	[Input unduplicated student group(s)]	RDP	Ongoing	$ -	$ 44,574	$ 44,574	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 44,574	0.000%
2	2	Professional Development		Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 168,638	$ 18,736	$ 187,374	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 187,374	0.000%
2	3	Data Driven Instructional Practices		Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 34,592	$ 30,317	$ 64,910	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 64,910	0.000%
2	4	Instructional Coaching		Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 208,884	$ -	$ 208,884	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 208,884	0.000%
2	5	Teacher Credentialing	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ -	$ 20,094	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 20,094	$ 20,094	0.000%
2	6	Culturally Responsive Pedagogy	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	0.000%
3	1	Operations Staffing & Development		Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 337,101	$ -	$ 337,101	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 337,101	0.000%
3	2	Facilities Maintenance	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ -	$ 195,688	$ 195,688	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 195,688	0.000%
3	3	Safety Training	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ 5,581	$ 6,223	$ 11,805	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 11,805	0.000%
3	4	School-wide Operational Systems & Routines	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	0.000%
4	1	Enrichment		Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 121,968	$ -	$ 121,968	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 121,968	0.000%
4	2	Outdoor Education and Community Experiences		Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ -	$ 58,090	$ 58,090	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 58,090	0.000%
4	3	Social Emotional Learning	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ 116,057	$ -	$ -	$ 116,057	$ -	$ -	$ 116,057	0.000%
4	4	Care Corps	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ 78,791	$ -	$ -	$ 78,791	$ -	$ -	$ 78,791	0.000%
5	1	Family Engagement		Yes	LEA-wide	All	RDP	Ongoing	$ 76,015	$ 7,500	$ 83,515	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 83,515	0.000%
5	2	Family Advocacy and Leadership	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	0.000%
5	3	School-Family Partnership	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ 47,133	$ -	$ 47,133	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 47,133	0.000%
5	4	Los Dichos	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ 18,527	$ -	$ -	$ 18,527	$ -	$ -	$ 18,527	0.000%
5	5	Attendance Initiatives	All	No	LEA-wide		RDP	Ongoing	$ 41,477	$ 500	$ 41,977	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 41,977	0.000%

2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals:	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Actual Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals:	$ 2,860,137.48	$ 3,133,839.51

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1	Standards-Aligned Instruction & Materials	No	$ 75,858	$ 76,321
1	2	Personalized Learning	Yes	$ 505,475	$ 474,056
1	3	Special Education Supports	No	$ 219,521	$ 405,077
1	4	Services to Support Emerging Bilingual Students	No	$ 35,417	$ 32,375
1	5	Reading Engagement	Yes	$ 4,263	$ 4,050
2	1	Professional Development	Yes	$ 176,337	$ 150,360
2	2	Assessments	Yes	$ 14,821	$ 17,683
2	3	Data Days	Yes	$ 37,988	$ 33,122
2	4	Coaching	Yes	$ 312,220	$ 295,628
2	5	Teacher Credentialing	No	$ 20,094	$ 27,404
2	6	Culturally Responsive Pedagogy	No	$ -	$ -
3	1	Business Operations Manager	Yes	$ 119,755	$ 112,625
3	2	School Maintenance	No	$ 136,453	$ 133,102
3	3	Custodial Service and Supplies	No	$ 71,885	$ 88,543
3	4	Operations Specialists	Yes	$ 331,455	$ 282,206
4	1	Enrichment	Yes	$ 526,233	$ 729,879
4	2	Field Trips	Yes	$ 28,420	$ 58,090
4	3	Social Emotional Learning	Yes	$ 35,042	$ 31,269
4	4	CareCorp	No	$ 82,930	$ 73,074
5	1	Family Involvement	No	$ 7,459	$ 7,091
5	2	Family Outreach	Yes	$ 9,432	$ 11,062

| 5 | 3 | School Leadership Team | No | $ | 104,079 | $ | 89,185 |
| 5 | 4 | Los Dichos | No | $ | 5,000 | $ | 1,638 |

2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
$ 700,887	$ 1,249,677	$ 936,103	$ 313,575	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% - No Difference

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	2	Personalized Learning	Yes	$ 218,666	$ 226,157.35	0.00%	0.00%
1	5	Reading Engagement	Yes	$ 4,263	$ 4,049.85	0.00%	0.00%
2	1	Professional Development	Yes	$ 165,196	$ 118,712.91	0.00%	0.00%
2	2	Assessments	Yes	$ 14,821	$ 17,682.70	0.00%	0.00%
2	3	Data Days	Yes	$ 35,320	$ 25,541.53	0.00%	0.00%
2	4	Coaching	Yes	$ 208,147	$ -	0.00%	0.00%
3	1	Business Operations Manager	Yes	$ 119,755	$ 112,625.00	0.00%	0.00%
3	4	Operations Specialists	Yes	$ 236,390	$ 282,206.28	0.00%	0.00%
4	1	Enrichment	Yes	$ 174,225	$ 48,705.63	0.00%	0.00%
4	2	Field Trips	Yes	$ 28,420	$ 58,090.00	0.00%	0.00%
4	3	Social Emotional Learning	Yes	$ 35,042	$ 31,269.11	0.00%	0.00%
5	2	Family Outreach	Yes	$ 9,432	$ 11,062.46	0.00%	0.00%

2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
$ 4,037,833	$ 700,887	0.00%	17.36%	$ 936,103	0.00%	23.18%	$0.00 - No Carryover	0.00% - No Carryover

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning*,* particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [*EC*] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (*EC* Section 52064[b][4-6]).

- o Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (*EC* sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

    - ▪ **NOTE:** As specified in *EC* Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, *EC* Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- o Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).

- o Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

> Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.

- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;

- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or

- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as "Not Applicable."

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* sections [52060(g) (California Legislative Information)](#) and [52066(g) (California Legislative Information)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section [47606.5(d) (California Legislative Information)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and

- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see Education Code Section 52062 (California Legislative Information);

  - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see Education Code Section 52068 (California Legislative Information); and

- For charter schools, see Education Code Section 47606.5 (California Legislative Information).

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:

  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process

- Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.

  - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.

- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.

- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The *LCFF State Priorities Summary* provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.

- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.

- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.

- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.

- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.

  o When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,

  o The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.

- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.

- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:

  o The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).

- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section [42238.024(b)(1) (California Legislative Information)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.

- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.

- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

  o These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

- o The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

- o The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.

  - o Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).

  - o Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.

  - o Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.

  - o The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

    - ▪ This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

    - ▪ If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.

- o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

    - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

    - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.

    - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.

    - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

  o Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.

  o This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.

  o In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.

  o When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.

  o Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

  o As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

    ▪ The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and

    ▪ How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

- Enter the action number.

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.

  - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

  - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No.

  - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

***Actions for Foster Youth*:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:

  - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and

  - Professional development for teachers.

- o If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:

  - o The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.

  - o These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the

identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

  o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

  o The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

  o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

  o The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word "input" has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.

- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

  See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.

- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5

CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.

- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.

- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.

- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.

- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.

- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:

  - **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

  - **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.

  - **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.

- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."

- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.

- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).

  - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.

- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

  - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.

- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

  - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).

    - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and

determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**

  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**

  - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display "Not Required."

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

  - o This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

  - o This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**

  - o This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**

  - o This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

  - o This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

  - o This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**

  - o This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

- o This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**

  - o This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

  - o If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

    The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

  - o This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2023